

## REMARKS

In response to the election of species requirement, Applicants elect the species “E”, which the Examiner contends is directed to the embodiment of FIG. 19. However, it is noted that FIG. 19 is a cross-sectional view of FIG. 18, and accordingly, Applicants consider the species “E” to be direct to FIG. 18 of the application.

Also, Applicants respectfully traverse the election of species requirement as it relates to species “E” (FIG. 18), “F” (FIG. 22) and “G” (FIG. 26). The arrangement of FIG. 22 merely adds to configuration of FIG. 18. That is, FIG. 22 is characterized by the formation of a step in the upper chamber A of FIG. 18, with the addition of an antenna and magnetic field production device for each step portion of the upper chamber A. Likewise, FIG. 26 only differs from FIG. 18 in that a central trough is formed in the chamber A into which an additional antenna and magnetic field production device are inserted.

FIGS. 22 and 26 add to the basic structure illustrated in FIG. 18 of the application, and accordingly, Applicants do not consider the embodiments of FIGS. 22 and 26 to constitute distinct species relative to the basic embodiment of FIG. 18.

Claims 1-11, 21 and 23 read on the elected species "F", and are generic to species "F", "G" and "H".

Respectfully submitted,

Leslie Michael LEA et al.

By:



Adam C. Volentine  
Reg. No. 33,289

March 22, 2004

VOLENTINE FRANCOS, PLLC  
12200 Sunrise Valley Drive, Suite 150  
Reston, VA 20191  
(703) 715-0870